

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/767,123	01/29/2004	William A. Margiloff	E03.002/U	4363		
	7590 03/28/200 ASCHOFF & TALWA	•	EXAMINER			
50 LOCUST A	VENUE	AIRAPETIAN, MILA				
NEW CANAAI	N, CT 06840		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
			3625			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
3 MOI	NTHS	03/28/2007	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)		
		7,123	MARGILOFF ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Exami	ner	Art Unit		
	Mila Ai	rapetian	3625		
The MAILING DATE of this commu Period for Reply	nication appears on	the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this con - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rep Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF is of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n imunication. statutory period will apply ar ly will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICATION be event, however, may a reply be tin ind will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) fi This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice. 	2b)⊠ This action in for allowance exc	s non-final. ept for formal matters, pro		merits is	
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restr	are withdrawn from				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by to the specification is objected to by the specific to	e: a) accepted or ection to the drawing ong the correction is rec	s) be held in abeyance. Sequired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D. 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 3625

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendment received on 01/16/2007 is acknowledged and entered. The applicant has amended claims 1-7, 10-12 and 15. Currently, claims 1-15 are pending for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101 has been withdrawn due to Applicant's amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 has been withdrawn due to Applicant's amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 5-7, 10-12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Skillen et al. (US 6,098,065) in view of Rakavy et al. (US 5,913,040).

Art Unit: 3625

Claim 1. Skillen et al. (Skillen) teaches a computer-implemented method for providing advertisements to the users, comprising:

determining advertising information based on (i) contextual information associated with remote information being accessed by a user (col. 1, lines 39-49; col. 2, lines 35-39), and (ii) supplemental information associated with the user col. 3; lines 13-19); and providing for the determined advertising information to the user to be provided to the user (col. 1, lines 39-49).

However, Skillen does not teach that said determining advertising information includes locally determining at a user device.

Rakavy et al. (Rakavy) teaches a method for transmitting and displaying advertisement wherein advertisements are stored locally at a user device (hard disk) (col. 3, lines 22-26; col. 10, lines 22-23).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Skillen to include determining advertising information locally, as disclosed in Rakavy, because it would advantageously allow to present advertisements to the user in accordance with preconfigured user preference information (col. 3, lines 23-26).

Claim 2. Skillen teaches said method wherein the supplemental information is associated with at least one of: (i) geographic information, (ii) user device information, and (iii) other advertising information that has been provided to the user (col. 3, lines 13-19).

Art Unit: 3625

Claim 5. Skillen teaches said method wherein the contextual information comprises a key word (col. 4, lines 12-13).

System claims 6, 7 and 10 repeat the subject matter of method claims 1, 2 and 5 respectively, as a set of apparatus elements rather than a series of steps. As the underlying processes of claims 1, 2 and 5 have been shown to be fully disclosed by the teachings of Skillne in the above rejections of claims 1, 2 and 5, it is readily apparent that the system disclosed by Skillen includes the apparatus to perform these functions. As such, these limitations are rejected for the same reasons given above for method claims 1, 2 and 5, and incorporated herein.

Claims 11, 12 and 15 are rejected on the same rationale as set forth above in Claims 1, 2 and 5.

Claims 3, 4, 8, 9, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Skillen and Rakavy, in view of Marsh et al. (US 6,876,974).

Claim 3. The combination of Skillen and Rakavy teaches all the limitations of claim 3 including providing the advertising information to the user device via a communication network (col. 3, lines 52-55). However, the Skillen and Rakavy does not teach that said advertising information is provided to the user when the user device is not communicating via the communication network.

101144111001. 10/707,12

Art Unit: 3625

Marsh et al. (Marsh) teaches a computer-implemented method for providing advertisements to the users wherein advertisements are presented to users during periods of off-line activity (col. 7, lines 1-2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Skillen and Rakavy to include that advertising information is provided to the user when the user device is not communicating via the communication network, as disclosed in Marsh, because it would advantageously allow to avoid the downloaded during the on-line access advertisements become "stale", thereby avoiding the risk of users being numbed or otherwise negatively affected by their advertising as a result of overexposure, as specifically taught by Marsh (col. 2, lines 52-60).

Claim 4. The combination of Skillen and Rakavy teaches all the limitations of claim 4 except that said arranging comprises displaying a graphical advertisement to the user.

Marsh teaches said method wherein graphical advertisements are displayed to the user (col. 6, line 7).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Skillen and Rakavy to include that said advertisements include graphical advertisements, as disclosed in Marsh, because it would advantageously allow to recognize said advertisements instantaneously, thereby increasing efficiency of advertising.

Art Unit: 3625

System claims 8 and 9 repeat the subject matter of method claims 3 and 4 respectively, as a set of apparatus elements rather than a series of steps. As the underlying processes of claims 3 and 4 have been shown to be fully disclosed by the teachings of Skillne, Rakavy and Marsh in the above rejections of claims 3 and 4 it is readily apparent that the system disclosed by Skillen and Marsh includes the apparatus to perform these functions. As such, these limitations are rejected for the same reasons given above for method claims 3 and 4 and incorporated herein.

Claims 13 and 14 are rejected on the same rationale as set forth above in Claims 3 and 4.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mila Airapetian whose telephone number is (571) 272-3202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Smith can be reached on (571) 272-6763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/767,123 Page 7

Art Unit: 3625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MA

MATTHEW S. GART
MATTHEW S. GART
PRIMARY EXAMINER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
PRIMARY CENTER 3600